



TITLE:

ON OPTIMALITY THEOREMS FOR ROBUST SEMI-INFINITE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS (Study on Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis)

AUTHOR(S):

Lee, Jae Hyoung; Lee, Gue Myung

CITATION:

Lee, Jae Hyoung ...[et al]. ON OPTIMALITY THEOREMS FOR ROBUST SEMI-INFINITE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS (Study on Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis). 数理解析研究所講究録 2019, 2112: 80-85

ISSUE DATE:

2019-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/251992>

RIGHT:

ON OPTIMALITY THEOREMS FOR ROBUST SEMI-INFINITE MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

JAE HYOUNG LEE AND GUE MYUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. We consider a semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem with more than two differentiable objective functions and uncertain constraint functions, which is called a robust semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem and give its robust counterpart (RSIMP) of the problem, which is regarded as the worst case of the uncertain semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem. In this paper, we review necessary optimality theorems for weakly robust efficient solutions of (RSIMP), which were in the paper [16].

1. INTRODUCTION

Mathematical optimization problems in the face of data uncertainty have been treated by the worst case approach or the stochastic approach. The worst case approach for optimization problems, which has emerged as a powerful deterministic approach for studying optimization problems with data uncertainty, associates an uncertain optimization problem with its robust counterpart. Many researchers have investigated optimality and duality theories for linear or convex programming problems under uncertainty with the worst-case approach (the robust approach) ([1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 15]). Moreover, many authors have studied optimality and duality theories for robust multiobjective optimization problems under different suitable constrained qualifications ([3, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16]). We consider a semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem with more than two differentiable objective functions and uncertain constraint functions, which is called a robust semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem and give its robust counterpart (RSIMP) of the problem,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 90C29, 90C34, 90C46.

Key words and phrases. optimality theorem, uncertain data, robust multiobjective semi-infinite optimization problem, robust multiobjective linear semi-infinite problem, weakly robust efficient.

which is regarded as the worst case of the uncertain semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem.

Consider the following semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem in the absence of data uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{SIMP}) \quad & \min \quad (f_1(x), \dots, f_l(x)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_t(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in T, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $g_t: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \in T$, are continuously differentiable and T is an index set with coordinately possible infinite.

The semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem (SIMP) in the face of data uncertainty in the constraints can be captured by the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{USIMP}) \quad & \min \quad (f_1(x), \dots, f_l(x)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_t(x, v_t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in T, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $g_t: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuously differentiable and $v_t \in \mathbb{R}^q$ is an uncertain parameter which belongs to the convex compact set $\mathcal{V}_t \subset \mathbb{R}^q$, $t \in T$.

The uncertainty set-valued mapping $\mathcal{V}: T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^q$ is defined as $\mathcal{V}(t) := \mathcal{V}_t$ for all $t \in T$. So, $\text{gph}\mathcal{V} := \{(t, v_t) : v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t, t \in T\}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$ means that v is a *selection* of \mathcal{V} , i.e., $v: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ and $v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t$ for all $t \in T$.

The robust counterpart of (USIMP):

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{RSIMP}) \quad & \min \quad (f_1(x), \dots, f_l(x)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_t(x, v_t) \leq 0, \quad \forall v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t, \quad \forall t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

The robust feasible set F of (RSIMP) is defined by

$$F := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g_t(x, v_t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in T, \quad \forall v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t\}.$$

Then $\bar{x} \in F$ is called a *weakly robust efficient solution* of (RSIMP) if there does not exist a robust feasible solution x of (RSIMP) such that

$$f_i(x) < f_i(\bar{x}), \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

In this paper, we review necessary optimality theorems for weakly robust efficient solutions of (RSIMP), which were in the paper [16].

2. NECESSARY OPTIMALITY THEOREMS

Let $\mathcal{V}: T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^q$ be an uncertainty set-valued mapping defined as $\mathcal{V}(t) := \mathcal{V}_t$ for all $t \in T$ and $g_t: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given continuously differentiable function. Now, we will assume that the following assumptions hold:

- (A1) T is a compact metric space.
- (A2) \mathcal{V} is compact-valued and upper semi-continuous on T

- (A3) $g_{t_n}(x_n, v_{t_n}) \rightarrow g_t(x, v_t)$, whenever $t_n \in T \rightarrow t \in T$, $v_{t_n} \in \mathcal{V}_{t_n} \rightarrow v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t$, and $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (A4) $\nabla g_{t_n}(x_n, v_{t_n}) \rightarrow \nabla g_t(x, v_t)$, whenever $t_n \in T \rightarrow t \in T$, $v_{t_n} \in \mathcal{V}_{t_n} \rightarrow v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t$, and $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\bar{x} \in F$. Let us decompose T into two index sets $T = T_1(\bar{x}) \cup T_2(\bar{x})$, where $T_1(\bar{x}) := \{t \in T : \exists v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t \text{ s.t. } g_t(\bar{x}, v_t) = 0\}$ and $T_2(\bar{x}) := T \setminus T_1(\bar{x})$. Let $\mathcal{V}_t(\bar{x}) := \{v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t : g_t(\bar{x}, v_t) = 0\}$.

We define an *extended nonsmooth Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification (ENMFCQ)* at $\bar{x} \in F$ as follows:

$$\exists d \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ s.t. } \nabla_x g_t(\bar{x}, v_t)^T d < 0, \forall t \in T_1(\bar{x}), \forall v_t \in \mathcal{V}_t(\bar{x}).$$

Now we give a robust necessary optimality theorem for a weakly robust efficient solution of (RSIMP), which was in [16].

Theorem 2.1. [16] *Assume that the conditions (A1)-(A4) hold. Let \bar{x} be a weakly robust efficient solution of (RSIMP). Suppose that $g_t(x, \cdot)$ is concave on \mathcal{V}_t , for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for each $t \in T$. Then there exist $\bar{\mu}_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, $(\bar{\lambda}_t)_{t \in T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(T)}$, and $\bar{v}_t \in \mathcal{V}_t$, $t \in T$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\mu}_i + \sum_{t \in T} \bar{\lambda}_t = 1$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\mu}_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{t \in T} \bar{\lambda}_t \nabla_x g_t(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_t) = 0$$

and $\bar{\lambda}_t g_t(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_t) = 0, t \in T.$

Moreover, if we further assume that the extended Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification (EMFCQ) holds, then there exist $\hat{\mu}_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, not all zero, $(\hat{\lambda}_t)_{t \in T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(T)}$, and $\bar{v}_t \in \mathcal{V}_t$, $t \in T$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^l \hat{\mu}_i = 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \hat{\mu}_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{t \in T} \hat{\lambda}_t \nabla_x g_t(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_t) = 0$$

and $\hat{\lambda}_t g_t(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_t) = 0, t \in T.$

We may apply Theorem 2.1 to robust linear semi-infinite multiobjective programming problems under uncertainty, which was studied by Goberna et al. [2, 3, 4].

Consider the following linear semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problem in the absence of data uncertainty:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(LSIMP)} \quad & \min \quad (c_1^T x, \dots, c_l^T x) \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad a_t^T x \geq b_t, \quad \forall t \in T, \end{aligned}$$

where c_i , $i = 1, \dots, l$, $a_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $b_t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in T$. The semi-infinite optimization problem in the face of data uncertainty in the linear constraints can be captured by the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ULSIMP}) \quad & \min \quad (c_1^T x, \dots, c_l^T x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & a_t^T x \geq b_t, \quad \forall t \in T, \end{aligned}$$

where a_t and b_t are uncertain parameters, and (a_t, b_t) belongs to the set $\mathcal{V}_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for all $t \in T$.

Let $(a_t, b_t) \in \mathcal{V}_t$, for $t \in T$. The set-valued mapping $\mathcal{V}: T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, is defined as $\mathcal{V}(t) := \mathcal{V}_t$ for all $t \in T$.

The robust counterpart of (ULSIMP) is

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{RLSIMP}) \quad & \min \quad (c_1^T x, \dots, c_l^T x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & a_t^T x \geq b_t, \quad \forall (a_t, b_t) \in \mathcal{V}_t, \quad \forall t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $F^L := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_t^T x \geq b_t, \quad \forall (a_t, b_t) \in \mathcal{V}_t, \quad \forall t \in T\}$ is the feasible set of (RLSIP).

We define an *extended linear Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification (ELMFCQ)* at $\bar{x} \in F^L$ as follows:

$$\exists d \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } \forall t \in T_1(\bar{x}), \quad \forall (a_t, b_t) \in \mathcal{V}_t(\bar{x}), \quad a_t^T d > 0.$$

Remark 2.2. [16] Goberna et al. [3] have established characterizations of robust solutions of (ULSIMP) under the *local Farkas-Minkowski* constraint qualification (LFMCQ) at $\bar{x} \in F^L$, that is, $D(F^L; \bar{x})^+ = A(\bar{x})$, where

$$A(\bar{x}) := \text{cone}\{a : (a, b) \in \bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{V}_t \text{ and } a^T \bar{x} = b\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$D(F^L; \bar{x}) := \{d \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \eta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \bar{x} + \eta d \in F^L\},$$

and $D(F^L; \bar{x})^+$ is the positive polar cone of $D(F^L; \bar{x})$. In the linear programming with finite uncertain linear constraints, generally, even if the extended linear Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification (ELMFCQ) does not hold, (LFMCQ) always holds.

We can get the following necessary optimality theorem for (ULSIP) from Theorem 2.1, which was in [16].

Theorem 2.3. [16] *Assume that the conditions (A1) and (A2) hold. Let \bar{x} be a weakly robust efficient solution of (ULSIP). Then there exist $\bar{\mu}_i \geq 0$,*

$i = 1, \dots, l$, $(\bar{\lambda}_t)_{t \in T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(T)}$, and $\bar{v}_t = (\bar{a}_t, \bar{b}_t) \in \mathcal{V}_t$, $t \in T$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\mu}_i c_i - \sum_{t \in T} \bar{\lambda}_t \bar{a}_t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\lambda}_t (\bar{a}_t^T \bar{x} - \bar{b}_t) = 0, \quad t \in T.$$

Moreover, if we further assume that (ELMFCQ) holds, then there exist $\hat{\mu}_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, not all zero, $(\hat{\lambda}_t)_{t \in T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(T)}$ and $\bar{v}_t = (\bar{a}_t, \bar{b}_t) \in \mathcal{V}_t$, $t \in T$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^l \hat{\mu}_i = 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^l \hat{\mu}_i + \sum_{t \in T} \hat{\lambda}_t \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \hat{\mu}_i c_i - \sum_{t \in T} \hat{\lambda}_t \bar{a}_t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\lambda}_t (\bar{a}_t^T \bar{x} - \bar{b}_t) = 0, \quad t \in T.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beck and A. Ben-Tal, Duality in robust optimization: primal worst equals dual best, *Oper. Res. Lett.*, **37** (2009), 1-6.
- [2] M. A. Goberna, F. Guerra-Vazquez and M. I. Todorov, Constraint qualifications in linear vector semi-infinite optimization, *European J. Oper. Res.*, **227** (2013), 12-21.
- [3] M. A. Goberna, V. Jeyakumar, G. Li and J. Vicente-Perez, Robust solutions of multi-objective linear semi-infinite programs under constraint data uncertainty, *SIAM J. Optim.*, **24** (2014), 1402-1419.
- [4] M. A. Goberna, V. Jeyakumar, G. Li and M. A. Lopez, Robust linear semi-infinite programming duality under uncertainty, *Math. Program.*, Ser B, **139** (2013), 185-203.
- [5] V. Jeyakumar, G. M. Lee and G. Li, Characterizing robust solutions sets convex programs under data uncertainty, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **64** (2015), 407-435.
- [6] V. Jeyakumar and G. Li, Characterizing robust set containments and solutions of uncertain linear programs without qualifications, *Oper. Res. Lett.*, **38**(3) (2010), 188-194.
- [7] V. Jeyakumar and G. Li, Robust semi-definite linear programming duality under data uncertainty, *Optimization*, **63**(5) (2014), 713-733.
- [8] V. Jeyakumar and G. Li, Strong duality in robust convex programming: complete characterizations, *SIAM J. Optim.*, **20** (2010), 3384-3407.
- [9] V. Jeyakumar, G. Li and G. M. Lee, Robust duality for generalized convex programming problems under data uncertainty, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75**(3) (2012), 1362-1373.
- [10] D. Kuroiwa and G. M. Lee, On robust convex multiobjective optimization, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **15** (2014), 1125-1136.
- [11] D. Kuroiwa and G. M. Lee, On robust multiobjective optimization, *Vietnam J. Math.*, **40** (2012), 305-317.
- [12] G. M. Lee and J. H. Lee, On nonsmooth optimality theorems for robust multiobjective optimization problems, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **16** (2015), 2039-2052.
- [13] J. H. Lee and G. M. Lee, On ϵ -solutions for convex optimization problems with uncertainty data, *Positivity*, **16**(3) (2012), 509-526.
- [14] J. H. Lee and G. M. Lee, On sequential optimality conditions for robust multiobjective convex optimization problems, *Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, **1** (2015), 221-246.
- [15] G. Y. Li, V. Jeyakumar and G. M. Lee, Robust conjugate duality for convex optimization under uncertainty with application to data classification, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **74** (2011), 2327-2341.

- [16] J. H. Lee and G. M. Lee, On optimality conditions and duality theorems for robust semi-infinite multiobjective optimization problems, *Annals of Operations Research*, **269** (2018), no. 1-2, 419-438.

(J. H. Lee) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, PUKYONG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 48513, KOREA

E-mail address: mc7558@naver.com

(G. M. Lee) CORRESPONDING AUTHOR, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, PUKYONG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 48513, KOREA

E-mail address: gmlee@pknu.ac.kr